### On the Economics of Anonymity

Alessandro Acquisti

Roger Dingledine SIMS, UC Berkeley The Free Haven Project

> Paul Syverson Naval Research Lab

#### Paper overview

- Motivation
- Social/economic di culties deploying anonymous (tra c analysis resistant) communication systems
- An economic framework
- Types of agents
- Concluding remarks

# Anonymity is hard for economic/social reasons too

- Anonymity requires in ciencies in computation, bandwidth, storage
- Unlike encryption, it's not enough for just one person to want anonymity — the infrastructure morcptat

#### Hide users with users

Anonymity systems messages (the more noise, the more

Senders are consumers of anonymity, and providers of covered

· Users might be better o on crowded systems, even i

#### More users is good

- High tra c better performance (with same anonymity)
- Better performance high tra c
- Attracts more users: faster and more anonymous

# But trust bottlenecks are dangerous

- Nodes with more training comust be more trusted
- Adversary can give good service see more tra c (and knock down other good providers)
- Performance and e ciency metrics cannot distinguish bad guys from good guys

### Strong anonymity requires distributed trust

- An anonymity system can't be just for one entity (even a large corporation or government)
- You must carry tra c for others to protect yourself
- But those others don't want to trust their tra c
  to just one entity either

#### It would seem we're screwed

 Ine ciency costs thas propagas bask to the users chase users away

•

### Multiplayer Strategic agents

• "Public good with c42U9t7ding" ithraagedyiths

# Highly sensitive agents actually want some level of free-riding, to provide noise.

- But, just enough free-riding for benefits to dominate costs.
- Right distribution of valuations equilibria:
  agents with the high2.64-1(o)entria:

# Alternative node incentive mechanisms

- Usage fee.
  - Market sumbrt for low overhead services (Anonymizeb.